ORIGINAL



325C

1

2

2

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman JEFF HATCH-MILLER

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION C

· 2007 DEC 14 P 4: 43

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ICR WATER USERS ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICES

DOCKET NO. W-02824A-07-0388

NOTICE OF FILING REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

ICR Water Users Association, Inc. files the Rebuttal Testimony of Thomas J.

Bourassa and Robert M. Busch, attached as Exhibits A and B respectively, in this proceeding.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 14th day of December, 2007.

SNELL & WILMER

Robert J. Metli Marcie A. Shuman One Arizona Center

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

Attorneys for ICR Water Users Association, Inc.

ORIGINAL AND THIRTEEN (13) copies filed this 14th day of December, 2007, with:

Docket Control ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

DEC 14 2007

DOCKETED BY

1	-
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ŀ
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CODIES of the foresteins hand delivered
COPIES of the foregoing hand-delivered
this 14th day of December, 2007, with:
Judge Marc E. Stern
•
Hearing Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Christopher Kempley
Legal Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
THOUMA, THE ZONG 05007
Ernest Johnson
Utilities Division
ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, Arizona 85007
Grun Bull
Grun But

28

EXHIBIT A

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION OF ICR WATER
USERS ASSOCIATION, AN ARIZONA
CORPORATION, FOR A
DETERMINATION OF THE
CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS
UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY
AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES
AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY
SERVICE.

DOCKET NO. W-02824A-07-0388

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF THOMAS J. BOURASSA ON BEHALF OF ICR WATER USERS ASSOCIATION DECEMBER 14, 2007

1	I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
3	A.	My name is Thomas J. Bourassa. My business address is 139 W. Wood Drive,
4		Phoenix, Arizona 85029.
5	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THE
6		INSTANT CASE?
7	A.	Yes, my direct testimony was submitted in support of the initial application in this
8		docket by ICR Water Users Association ("ICR" or "Company").
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
10	A.	I will provide rebuttal testimony in response to the direct filing by Arizona
11		Corporation Commission Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") with respect to the rate
12		base, the income statement, and the revenue requirement and rate design.
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE REVENUE INCREASE THAT THE COMPANY IS
14		PROPOSING IN THIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
15	A.	The Company is proposing a total revenue requirement of \$356,594 which
16		constitutes an increase in revenues of \$88,547, or 33.03% over test year revenues.
17	Q.	HOW DOES THIS COMPARE WITH THE COMPANY'S DIRECT
18	,	FILING?
19	A.	In the direct filing, the Company requested a total revenue requirement of
20		\$355,174, an increase in revenues of \$86,908, or 32.40%.
21	Q.	WHY IS THE REQUESTED REVENUE INCREASE HIGHER IN ICR'S
22		REBUTTAL FILING?
23	A.	In its rebuttal filing, ICR has adopted all of the revenue and expense adjustments
24		recommended by Staff. The net result of these adjustments is a \$1,207 increase in
25		the proposed level of operating expenses compared to the adjusted test year

expense. While not impacting the revenue requirement, the Company's rebuttal filing reflects a net decrease in Original Cost Rate Base ("OCRB") and Fair Value Rate Base ("FVRB") of \$22,734 from the direct filing. The Company continues to propose that its OCRB be used as its FVRB.

Q. TO WHAT DO YOU ATTRIBUTE THE REDUCTION IN RATE BASE FROM THE DIRECT FILING TO THIS REBUTTAL FILING?

A. Notably, the Company has accepted Staff's adjustment to remove working capital of \$22,734.

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PROPOSED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND RATE INCREASES FOR THE COMPANY AND STAFF AT THIS STAGE OF THE PROCEEDING.

A. The proposed revenue requirements and proposed rate increases are as follows:

	Revenue Requirement	Revenue Incr.	% Increase
Company-Direct	\$355,174	\$86,908	32.40%
Staff	\$356,646	\$88,547	33.03%
Company Rebuttal	\$356,594	\$88,547	33.03%

Q. IT APPEARS THAT STAFF AND THE COMPANY ARE IN AGREEMENT ON THE REVENUE INCREASE, CORRECT?

- A. Yes. There is about a \$50 difference in the revenue requirement and is due to a small difference in the revenue annualization adjustment discussed later in my testimony. Putting this aside, this difference is inconsequential. For all intent and purposes, the Company and Staff are in agreement.
- Q. DO THE COMPANY AND STAFF PROPOSE THE APPROXIMATELY THE SAME OPERATING MARGIN?

1	A.	Yes. The Company proposes an operating margin of 15 percent, while Staff
2		proposes an operating margin of 15.01 percent. These are materially the same
3		operating margins.
4	II.	RATE BASE.
5	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PARTIES' RESPECTIVE RATE
6		BASE RECOMMENDATIONS?
7	A .	The rate bases proposed by all parties in the case are as follows:
	1	

	<u>OCRB</u>	<u>FVRB</u>
Company-Direct	\$(552,252)	\$(554,252)
Staff	\$(576,986)	\$(576,986)
Company Rebuttal	\$(576,986)	\$(576,986)

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR ADJUSTMENT(S) TO RATE BASE.

A. There is only one adjustment to the Company's proposed rate base and this adjustment is to working capital.

Q. HAS THE COMPANY ACCEPTED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION FOR ZERO WORKING CAPITAL?

A. Yes. While the Company does not agree with Staff's rationale that Class A, B, and C utilities should not be allowed to use the formula method and instead must prepare lead-lag studies to request working capital, it has accepted Staff's adjustment to eliminate issues between the parties. *See* Direct testimony of Charles H. Myhlhousen ("Myhlhousen DT") at 7. Rebuttal Schedule B-2 adjustment number 1 reduces working capital to zero.

Q. WHY DO YOU DISAGREE WITH STAFF'S RATIONALE?

A. No method of computing working capital, including lead-lag, is precisely correct.

The purpose of any working capital computation is to produce an amount of

working capital allowance that is reasonable. The cost of the calculation should not exceed the benefits. This is true regardless of the size of the utility. Lead-lag studies are costly to prepare and disagreement between the parties is common. Thus, the costs generally exceed the benefits. The formula method is simple and can readily be adjusted for the effects of pro forma adjustments and has been recognized by numerous regulatory bodies including this Commission.

III. INCOME STATEMENT.

- Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS THE COMPANY'S PROPOSED ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUES AND EXPENSES AND IDENTIFY ANY ADJUSTMENTS YOU HAVE ACCEPTED FROM STAFF?
- A. The Company rebuttal adjustments are detailed on Rebuttal Schedule C-2, pages 1-6. The rebuttal income statement with adjustments is shown on Rebuttal Schedule C-1, page 1-2.

Rebuttal adjustment 1 decreases metered water revenues by \$219. This adjustment addresses a correction to a revenue annualization computation error for the 1 inch metered customer class contained in Company's direct filing. Staff's has made a similar revenue annualization adjustment adjusting revenues down by \$167 (See Myhlhousen DT at 5).

Rebuttal adjustment 2 decreases repairs and maintenance expense by \$153. This adjustment adopts Staff's adjustment number 2 (*See* Myhlhousen DT at 6 and Staff schedule CRM-9).

Rebuttal adjustment 3 increases contractual services expense by \$2,264. This adjustment adopts Staff's adjustment number 3 (*See* Myhlhousen DT at 6 and Staff schedule CRM-10).

Rebuttal adjustment 4 decreases water testing expense by \$917. This adjustment adopts Staff's adjustment number 4 (*See* Myhlhousen DT at 6 and Staff schedule CRM-11).

Rebuttal adjustment 5 increases property tax expense by \$12. The adjustment reflects an increase in the rebuttal proposed revenues over the Company's direct filing proposed revenues. Staff made a similar adjustment to increase property taxes by \$14 (See Myhlhousen DT at 6 and Staff schedule CRM-12). The Company and Staff are in agreement on the method of computing property taxes. This method utilized the Arizona Department of Revenue ("ADOR") formula and inputs two years of adjusted revenues plus one year of proposed revenues. I computed the property taxes based on the Company's proposed revenues, and then used the property tax rate that was used in the direct filing.

- Q. ARE STAFF AND THE COMPANY IN AGREEMENT TO USE ACCOUNT SPECIFIC RATES FOR DEPRECIATION AND ARE THOSE RATES THE SAME AS THE COMPANY PROPOSED IN ITS DIRECT FILING?
- A. Yes, there is no disagreement between Staff and the Company on the level of depreciation expense computed using account specific depreciation rates. The Company utilized Staff's typical and customary rates to compute depreciation expense. See Direct testimony of Thomas J. Bourassa ("Bourassa DT") at 8. Staff has recommended that the Company use Staff's typical and customary rates on a going forward basis. See Direct Testimony of Jian W. Liu ("Liu DT") at 7.
- IV. RATE DESIGN (H SCHEDULES).
- Q. WHAT ARE THE COMPANY'S REBUTTAL PROPOSED RATES?
- A. The Company's rebuttal proposed rates for customers with a water meter size of:

1	Meter <u>Size</u>	Monthly Minimum	Gallons included in Monthly Minimum
2	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	in womany winiman
3	5/8	\$ 26.20	0
4	3/4	\$ 39.30	0
5	1.	\$ 65.50	0
6	1 1 /2	\$ 131.00	0
7	2	\$ 209.60	0
8	3	\$ 419.20	0
9	4	\$ 655.00	0
10	6	\$1,310.00	0
11	The commodity charges a	and tiers by meter size	are:

Meter Size	Tier (gallons)	Charge per 1,000 gallons
Size	rici (ganons)	per 1,000 ganons
5/8 and 3/4	1 to 4,000	\$ 2.984
	4,001 to 10,000	\$ 3.834
	Over 10,000	\$ 4.454
1	1 to 25,000	\$ 3.834
	Over 25,000	\$ 4.454
1 1/2	1 to 50,000	\$ 3.834
	Over 50,000	\$ 4.454
2	1 to 80,000	\$ 3.834
	Over 80,000	\$ 4.454
3	1 to 160,000	\$ 3.834
	Over 80,000	\$ 4.454
4	1 to 250,000	\$ 3.834
	Size 5/8 and 3/4 1 1 1/2 2	Size Tier (gallons) 5/8 and 3/4 1 to 4,000 4,001 to 10,000 Over 10,000 1 1 to 25,000 Over 25,000 1 to 50,000 Over 50,000 2 1 to 80,000 Over 80,000 3 1 to 160,000 Over 80,000

	Over 250,000	\$ 4.454
6	1 to 500,000	\$ 3.834
	Over 500,000	\$ 4.454

The proposed standpipe rate and bulk water rate is \$4.454 per 1,000 gallons with no minimum monthly charge.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN STAFF AND THE COMPANY'S RATE DESIGNS.

A. Both Staff and the Company's monthly minimums for each meter size are the same. Monthly minimums are scaled on the rated flows of a 5/8 inch meter.

Staff is proposing a 3 tier rate design which provides the same break over points for the 5/8 inch and 3/4 inch metered customers. The Company also proposes that the same break over points for the 5/8 inch and 3/4 inch metered customers. Staff proposes break over points of 4,000 gallons and 9,000 gallons, respectively. The Company now proposes 4,000 gallons and 9,000 gallons, respectively, in order to help eliminate issues between the parties.

For 1 inch and larger meters, Staff is proposing a 2 tier design with distinct break over points for each size meter. The Company similarly proposes a three tier design with distinct break over points for each size meter. The Company's break over points are scaled on the rated flows on a 5/8 inch meter using the 9,000 gallon second tier break over point of the 5/8 inch and 3/4 inch meters as the base. The Company's break over points for the 1 ½ inch and larger meters are different than Staff's. This is because the 1 ½ inch and larger meter break over points for Staff's rates do not use a 9,000 gallon base - rather a 10,000 gallon base is used. To be consistent, the Company recommends that the larger meter break over points be scaled using a 9,000 gallon base.

1

Q.

A.

9 10

8

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

ON THE COMMODITY CHARGES?

While the monthly minimums are the same for both Staff and the Company, there are differences in the commodity rates between the parties. Staff proposes commodity charge of \$2.645 for the first tier of the 5/8 inch and 3/4 inch meters while the Company proposes a commodity charge of \$2.984. For the second tier, Staff proposes a commodity charge of \$3.960 while the Company proposes a commodity charge of \$3.834. For the third tier, Staff proposes a commodity charge of \$4.740 while the Company proposes a commodity charge of \$4.454.

ARE THERE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN STAFF AND THE COMPANY

0. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE STAFF COMMODITY CHARGES.

The primary problem with Staff's commodity charges is that the first tier A. commodity rate of \$2.645 is lower than the current commodity charge of \$2.80. By lowering the commodity charge below what is currently charged sends the wrong price signal to water users - basically, that water costs less. This is not a sound rate design approach in light the Commission's policy of promoting conservation.

WHAT IS THE IMPACT ON A 5/8 INCH METERED CUSTOMER Q. UNDER STAFF AND THE COMPANY'S RATE DESIGNS?

A. The impact on 5/8 inch metered customers under the Company's proposed rates is as follows:

Meter Size	Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Dollar Increase	Percent
5/8 Inch Average (7,085 gals) Median (4500 gals)	\$37.04 \$29.80	\$49.96 \$40.05	\$12.93 \$10.25	34.90% 34.41%

2084081.1

The impact on 5/8 inch metered customers under Staff's proposed rates is as follows:

Meter Size	Present Rates	Proposed Rates	Dollar Increase	Percent
5/8 Inch Average (7,085 gals) Median (4500 gals)	\$37.04 \$29.80	\$48.99 \$38.76	\$11.96 \$ 8.96	32.29% 30.07%

- Q. ARE THE COMPANY AND STAFF IN AGREEMENT ON THE PROPOSED METER AND SERVICE LINE INSTALLATION CHARGES?
- A. Yes. See Staff Schedule CRM-13, page 2.
- Q. ARE THE COMPANY AND STAFF IN AGREEMENT ON THE PROPOSED MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES?
- A. Yes. The Company agrees with Staff's proposal for the late fee charge of 1.5% per month. See Myhlhousen DT at 9.
- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes.

,	ICR Water Users Association Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Computation of Increase in Gross Revenue Requirements As Adjusted			Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule A-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa		
Line						
No. 1 2	Fair Value Rate Base		\$	(576,986)		
3	Adjusted Operating Income			(35,058)		
5 6	Current Rate of Return			N/A		
7	Required Operating Income Operating Margin =	15.00%	\$	53,489		
9 10	Required Rate of Return on Fair Value Rate Base			N/A		
11 12	Operating Income Deficiency		\$	88,547		
13 14	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor			1.0000		
15	Increase in Gross Revenue					
16 17	Requirement		\$	88,547		
18 19	% Increase			33.03%		
20	Customer	Present F	Proposed	Dollar	P	
21	Classification	Rates	Rates	<u>Increase</u>	<u>lr</u>	
22 23	(Residential Commercial, Irrigation)			—		

Customer Classification (Residential Commercial, Irrigation)	Present <u>Rates</u>	P	roposed <u>Rates</u>	Dollar Increase	Percent Increase
5/8 x 3/4 Inch Residential	\$ 148,282	\$	207,922	\$ 59,640	40.22%
3/4 Inch Residential	- '		-	-	0.00%
1 Inch Residential	15,942		22,328	6,386	40.06%
2 Inch Residential	39,929		58,391	18,462	46.24%
Construction Water	417		664	246	59.07%
Subtotal	\$ 204,571	\$	289,305	\$ 84,734	41.42%
Revenue Annualization	9,738		13,964	4,226	43.40%
Other Water Revenues	53,403		53,403	. ·	0.00%
Revenue Reconciliation to GL			(335)	(335)	0.00%
Total of Water Revenues	\$ 267,712	\$	356,672	\$ 88,625	33.10%

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: Rebuttal B-1 Rebuttal C-1 Rebuttal C-3 Rebuttal H-1

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Summary of Rate Base

Exhibit

Rebuttal Schedule B-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line <u>No.</u>			iginal Cost <u>Rate base</u>	ir Value <u>te Base</u>
1 2 3	Gross Utility Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$	5,331,978 625,682	\$ 5,331,978 625,682
4 5 6	Net Utility Plant in Service	. \$	4,706,296	\$ 4,706,296
7 8	Less: Advances in Aid of		2 022 262	2 022 262
9 10	Construction Contributions in Aid of		3,932,263	3,932,263
11 12 13	Construction Accumulated Amortization of CIAC		1,419,166 (88,697)	1,419,166 (88,697)
14 15	Customer Meter Deposits Deferred Income Taxes & Credits		20,550	20,550
16 17 18	Deferred Assets		-	-
19	Plus:			
20 21	Unamortized Finance Charges Material and Supplies Inventories		- -	-
22 23	Prepayments Allowance for Working Capital		-	· -
24	Allowance for vvorking Capital		- -	
25 26				
27 28	Total Rate Base	\$	(576,986)	\$ (576,986)
29 30				
31 32	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: Rebuttal B-2			
33 34	Rebuttal B-5			
35 36				

ICR Water Users Association
Test Year Ended December 31, 2006
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line			Adjusted at End of Test Year	<u>Adjustments</u>	Rebuttal Adjusted at end of <u>Test Year</u>
1 2	Gross Utility Plant in Service	\$	5,331,978		\$ 5,331,978
3					
4	Less:				
5	Accumulated				
6	Depreciation		625,682	-	625,682
7		-			
8					
9	Net Utility Plant				
10	in Service	\$	4,706,296 \$	-	\$ 4,706,296
11					
12	Less:				
13	Advances in Aid of				0.000.000
14	Construction		3,932,263	-	3,932,263
15					
16	Contributions in Aid of		1,419,166	_	1,419,166
17	Construction (CIAC)		1,419,100		1,413,100
18 19					
	Accum. Amortization of CIAC		(88,697)	_	(88,697)
20 21	Accum. Amortization of CIAC		(00,007)		(00,00.)
22					
23	Customer Meter Deposits		20,550	0	20,550
24	Deferred Income Taxes		-	- · ·	· -
25	Investment Tax Credits		-	-	· _
26	myoodnom van ovonis				
27					
28	Plus:				
29	Unamortized Finance Charges		-		. •
30	Material and Supplies Inventories			-	•
31	Prepayments		-	. •	-
32	Allowance for Working Capital		22,734	(22,734)	• •
33					
34		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	
35	Total	\$	(554,252) \$	(22,734)	\$ (576,986)
36					
37					
38					
39					

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: Rebuttal B-2, pages 2

40

47 48

			ICR V Test Yea Original Cost	Nater Us ar Ended Rate Bas	sers As Decem se Profe	ICR Water Users Association Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments	Ts.			Exhibit Rebutta Page 2	Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 2	
			The The Training of the			•				Xit.	Witness: Bourassa	
		4	LABEL->>	~		7	က	4	w		Rebuttal	
i.			Adjusted at End of	Working	Ď.	Intentionally Left	Intentionally Left	Intentionally Left	Intentionally I eff		Adjusted at end of	
흴	- Property Control of the Control of		Test Year	Capital	े ला	Blank	Blank	Blank	Blank		<u>Test Year</u>	
- 0	Gross Utility Plant in Service	€9	5,331,978							69	5,331,978	
ა 4 ო	Less: Accumulated		625.682								600 360	
۸ ۵	Depreciation		700,020						-		799,670	
∞ o 6 t	Net Utility Plant in Service	₩	4,706,296 \$	4 A		 \$	· \$	«	• •	↔	4,706,296	
5 2 2 4	Less: Advances in Aid of Construction		3,932,263								3,932,263	
51 16	Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC)		1,419,166								1,419,166	
2 6 6	Accum. Amortization of CIAC		(88,697)								(88,697)	
22.8	Customer Meter Deposits		20,550								20,550	
ខេត	Investment Tax Credits											
22	Plus:											
5 9	Unamortized Finance		•									
77 78	Charges Material and Supplies Inventories	r.									1 1	
53	Prepayments		•								•	
3 5 6	Allowance for Working Capital		22,734	(5;	(22,734)							
33 8	Total	ક્ક	(554,252)	\$ (22	(22,734)	\$	\$	\$	۰ ج	မှာ	(576,986)	
36 8	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: Rebuttal B-2, pages 3-7											

ICR Water Users Association
Test Year Ended December 31, 2006
Original Cost Rate Base Proforma Adjustments
Adjustment 1

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule B-2 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Adjustment 1		W
Line				
<u>No.</u>				
1	Working Capital			
2				
3				
4	Working Capital per Direct Filing		\$	22,734
5	Working Capital Per Rebuttal Filing			-
6				
7 .	Difference		\$	22,734
- 8				
9				
10	Increase (Decrease) to Working Capital		\$	(22,734)
11				
12				
13	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES			
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19			1	
20				

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Computation of Working Capital

Exhibit

Rebuttal Schedule B-5

Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line <u>No.</u> 1	Cash Working Capital (1/8 of Allowance			
2	Operation and Maintenance Expense)		\$	22,745
3	Pumping Power (1/24 of Pumping Power)		•	
4	Purchased Water Treatment (1/24 of Purchas	ed Water)		677
5		,		
6				
7				
8		_		
9	Total Working Capital Allowance Rebuttal		\$	23,421
10				
11	Total Working Capital Allowance Requested		\$	-
12				
13	Working Capital per Direct Filing		\$	22,734
14				(22 -2 1)
15	Increase (Decrease) in Working Capital	-	\$	(22,734)
16				
17	CURRORING COUERUITES.	DECAR CO	HEDLILEO.	
18 19	SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:	RECAP SCI		
20		Kepullal B-	l	
20				

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Income Statement

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line No.			Adjusted Book Results	Ac	ljustments	,	Rebuttal Adjusted <u>Results</u>		roposed Rate ncrease	٧	Adjusted vith Rate ncrease
1 2	Revenues Metered Water Revenues	\$	214 062	œ.	(210)	æ	214 642	æ	00 E 47	•	202 400
3	Unmetered Water Revenues	Ф	214,863	\$	(219)	Ф	214,643	\$	88,547	\$	303,190
4	Other Water Revenues		53,403		· -		53,403				53,403
. 5	Other Water Nevertues	\$	268,266	\$	(219)	\$	268,047	\$	88,547	\$	356,594
6	Operating Expenses	Ψ.	200,200	Ψ	(219)	Ψ	200,047	Ψ	00,547	Ψ	330,394
7	Salaries and Wages	\$			_	\$				\$	_
8	Employee Pensions and Benefits	Ψ	6,388		_	Ψ	6,388			Ψ	6,388
9	Purchased Water		16,239		_		16,239				16,239
10	Purchased Power		10,239		-		10,239				10,239
11	Chemicals		2.516				2,516				2.516
12	Water Testing		4,946		(917)						4,029
13	Repairs and Maintenance		14,542		(153)		4,029 14,389				14,389
14	Contractual Services - Engineering		14,542		(155)		•				
15	Contractual Services - Engineering Contractual Services - Accounting		32,549				1,720				1,720
16	Contractual Services - Accounting Contractual Services - Legal		513		-		32,549 513				32,549
17	Contractual Services - Legal Contractual Services - Other		83,963		2,264		86,227				513
18			63,963		2,204		00,227				86,227
19	Water Testing		2 600		-		2 000				2 000
20	Rental of Building/Real Property		3,600		-		3,600				3,600
21	Rental of Equipment		• .		-		-				-
22	Transportation Expenses		754		-		754				-
23	Telephone		751		-		751				751
	Insurance		8,995		-		8,995				8,995
24	Regulatory Commission Expense - Ra		20,000		-		20,000				20,000
25	Bad Debt Expense		-				-				-
26	Miscellaneous Expense		235		-		235				235
27	Depreciation Expense		93,748		-		93,748				93,748
28	Property Taxes		11,148		12		11,160				11,160
29	Payroll Taxes		-		-		-				-
30	Sales Tax Expense		- 4-		-						- :_
31	Income Tax		45		-		45				45
32	T (10 d) =	_	004.000		4 00=	_	000 105				
33	Total Operating Expenses	\$	301,898	\$	1,207	\$	303,105	\$		\$	303,105
34	Operating Income	\$	(33,632)	\$	(1,426)	\$	(35,058)	\$	88,547	\$	53,489
35	Other Income (Expense)										
36	Interest Income		180				180				180
37	Other income		779				779				779
38	Interest Expense		-				•				•
39	Other Expense		-				-				. •
40	<u> </u>										
41	Total Other Income (Expense)	\$	959	\$	·	\$	959	\$	-	\$	959
42	Net Profit (Loss)	\$	(32,673)	\$	(1,426)	\$	(34,099)	\$	88,547	\$	54,448
42	,,	<u> </u>			(1,1=1,					<u> </u>	

47 48 SUPPORTING SCHEDULES: Rebuttal C-1, Page 2 Rebuttal C-2

RECAP SCHEDULES: Rebuttal A-1

Rebuttal Schedule C-1 Witness: Bourassa

Page 2

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Income Statement

53,403 356,594 303,105 53,489 959 54,448 6,388 2,516 4,029 14,389 3,600 8,995 20,000 93,748 779 303,190 16,239 32,549 513 86,227 235 11,160 180 751 with Rate ncrease Adjusted w 88,547 88,547 88,547 88,547 Proposed Rate Increase ₩ (34,099)3,600 20,000 93,748 (35,058) 214,643 4,029 14,389 1,720 32,549 513 8,995 45 80 779 53,403 6,388 16,239 2,516 235 303,105 751 268,047 86,227 Rebuttal Adjusted Results 12 \$ (12) 7 Property Taxes (917) (917) Testing Water 2,264 \$ (2,264) \$ (2,264)Services - Other 2,264 Contractual (153) \$ (153) 53 153 Maintenance Repairs and (219) \$ (219) (219)(219)Annualization Revenue 3,600 8,995 20,000 11,148 45 (33,632)180 779 (32,673)214,863 268,266 6,388 16,239 2,516 4,946 14,542 32,549 513 301.898 83,963 93,748 53,403 751 **ADJUSTMENT** LABEL->> Adjusted Results Book Regulatory Commission Expense - Rate Case Contractual Services - Engineering Contractual Services - Accounting **Employee Pensions and Benefits** Rental of Building/Real Property Total Other Income (Expense) Unmetered Water Revenues Contractual Services - Legal Contractual Services - Other Metered Water Revenues Repairs and Maintenance Fransportation Expenses Fotal Operating Expenses Miscellaneous Expense Other Income (Expense) Other Water Revenues Depreciation Expense Salaries and Wages Rental of Equipment Payroll Taxes Sales Tax Expense Bad Debt Expense Operating Expenses Purchased Power Purchased Water Operating Income Interest Expense Interest Income Property Taxes Other Expense Net Profit (Loss) Water Testing Water Testing Other income Income Tax Telephone Chemicals Insurance Revenues

9

RECAP SCHEDULES. Rebuttal A-1

SUPPORTING SCHEDULES:

42

Rebuttal C-2

ICR Water Users Association Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Adjustments to Revenues and Expenses

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Line No. 1	<u>1</u> Revenue	Adjustme <u>2</u> Repairs and	ents to Revenues and 3 Contractual	Expenses <u>4</u> Water	<u>5</u> Property	<u>6</u> Intentionally	
2 3 Revenues	Annualization (219)	Maintenance	Services-Other	Testing	Taxes	Left Blank	<u>Subtotal</u> (219)
4 5 Expenses		(153)	2,264	(917)	12		1,207
6 7 Operating 8 Income	(219)	153	(2,264)	917	(12)	· .	(1,426)
9 10 Interest 11 Expense 12 Other 13 Income / 14 Expense						i total	- -
15 16 Net Income	(219)	153	(2,264)	917	(12)	-	(1,426)
17 18 19 20 21 22	<u>Z</u> Intentionally <u>Left Blank</u>	<u>Adjustme</u> <u>8</u> Intentionally <u>Left Blank</u>	ents to Revenues and 9 Intentionally Left Blank	Expenses 10 Intentionally Left Blank	11 Intentionally Left Blank	12 Intentionally <u>Left Blank</u>	Subtotal
23 Revenues 24							(219)
25 Expenses 26							1,207
27 Operating 28 Income 29	-	-	-	-	-	· •	(1,426)
30 Interest 31 Expense 32 Other 33 Income / 34 Expense	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-
35 36 Net Income		-	_	-	_	-	(1,426)
37 38 39 40 41 42	<u>13</u> Intentionally Left Blank	Adjustme 14 Intentionally Left Blank	ents to Revenues and 15 Intentionally Left Blank	Expenses 16 Intentionally Left Blank	<u>17</u> Intentionally Left Blank	18 Intentionally Left Blank	<u>Total</u>
43 Revenues 44							(219)
45 Expenses 46							1,207
47 Operating 48 Income 49	<u>.</u>	-	-	- -	. -	÷	(1,426)
50 Interest 51 Expense 52 Other 53 Income / 54 Expense							-
55					·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1,426)
56 Net Income	-	-		-		-	(1,420)

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 1 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 2 Witness: Bourassa

Line			
No.			
1	Revenue Annualization		
2			
3			
4	Revenue Annualization per Rebuttal Filing	\$	9,738
5	Revenue Annualization per Direct Filing		9,957
6			
7			
8	Difference	\$	(219)
9			
10			
11			
12	Adjustment to Revenues/Expenses	\$	(219)
13			
14			
15	SUPPORTING SCHEDULE		
16	C-2, pages 2a-2		
17	Staff Adjustment #1 CRM-8		
18			
19			
20			

Revenue Annualization to Year End Customers: 5/8 Inch Meter Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Exhibit
Rebuttal Schedule C-2

Page 2a Witness: Bourassa

_			334	333	-	45.08 \$	45 \$		_	61.57 \$	62 \$	333									↔				↔	
Month	ō	June																								
			4	2	2	2	4		7	8	5	4					4	ص ص		2				2	ı	li
Month	ð	May	334	332		51.82	104	-		72.29	145	664		Month	ð	Dec.	334	339	ı	36.42			•	49.12	•	
					_	⇔	8			⇔	⇔								_	⇔	\$ (c		_	⇔	\$ (ير ا
Month	oĮ	April	334	321	13	33.02	429		13	44.47	278	4,173		Month	o	Nov.	334	343	(1)	36.42	(36)		Ξ	49.12	(36)	(335)
						↔	ઝ			↔	ઝ		-							₩	\$		_	₩	\$	
Month	ð	March	334	317	17	29.02	493		17	38.98	663	5,389		Month	o	Ö	334	344	(2)	36.12	(181)		(2)	48.71	(181)	(1 695)
						υ	₩			↔	ઝ									↔	s			G	₩	
Month	oę	Feb.	334	313	21	31.39	629		21	42.23	887	6,573		Month	of	Sept.	334	334	(6)	33.79 \$	(304)		6)	45.52	(304)	(3.087)
						↔	8			↔	\$									G	S			↔	↔	
Month	oţ	Jan.	334	312	22	34.62	762		22	46.65	1,026	6,864		Month	ō	Aug.	334	344	(10)	42.40	(424)		(10)	57.30	(424)	(3.440)
						s	ઝ			↔	↔				,					↔	ઝ			ક્ક	↔	
	o.		Year End Number of Customers	Actual Customers	Increase in Number of Customers/Bills	Average Revenue / Present Rates	Revenue Annualization / Present Rates		Increase in Number of Customers	Average Revenue / Proposed Rates	Revenue Annualization / Proposed Rates	Additional Gallons to be Produced					Year End Number of Customers	Actual Customers	Increase in Number of Customers/Bills	Average Revenue / Present Rates	Revenue Annualization / Present Rates			Average Revenue / Proposed Rates	Revenue Annualization / Proposed Rates	Additional Gallons to be Produced
	Line	Š	-	7	က	4	2	9	7	∞	6	10	Ξ	12	13	4	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24

50.81

334

Month

οť

Anr

37.66

2,085 15,439

51

Total Year 1,547

Revenue Annualization to Year End Customers: Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

1 Inch Meter

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 2b Witness: Bourassa

	ובארובשו דיומב	lest l'eai Linded Décelliber 91, 2000	7000			Vitilicas. Doulassa	701 0330		
		Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	Month	
Line		jo	oţ	ō	οę	οť	ō	o	
o N		Jan.	Feb.	March	April	Мау	June	AIIV	
-	Year End Number of Customers	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
7	Actual Customers	13	16	=	16	18	18	19	
က	Increase in Number of Customers/Bills	7	4	တ	4	2	2	_	
4	Average Revenue / Present Rates	\$ 56.25	\$ 58.31	\$ 51.66	\$ 54.38	\$ 75.98	\$ 92.07	\$ 73.36	
2	Revenue Annualization / Present Rates	\$ 394	\$ 233	\$ 465	\$ 218	\$ 152	\$ 184	\$ 73	
9									••
7	Increase in Number of Customers	7	4	ග	4	2	2	_	
∞	Average Revenue / Proposed Rates	\$ 77.89	\$ 80.72	\$ 71.60	\$ 75.33	\$ 104.91	\$ 126.95	\$ 101.32	
တ	Revenue Annualization / Proposed Rates	\$ 545	\$ 323	\$ 644	\$ 301	\$ 210	\$ 254	\$ 101	
10	Additional Gallons to be Produced	11,139	10,252	92,504	72	38	40	24	
7								-	
12		Month	Month	Month	Month	Month		Total	
13		ō	o	ō	oę	of		Year	
4		Aug.	Sept.	o O	Nov.	Dec.			
15	Year End Number of Customers	20	20	20	20	20			
16	Actual Customers	50	19	20	24	21			
17	Increase in Number of Customers/Bills		(4)	(1)	2			26	
8	Average Revenue / Present Rates	\$ 77.15	\$ 109.76	\$ 72.53	\$ 68.12	\$ 66.38	-		11
19	Revenue Annualization / Present Rates	ر ج	\$ (439)	\$ (73)	\$ 136	- \$		\$ 1,344	
20									16
21	Increase in Number of Customers	•	4	Ξ	7	•			
22	Average Revenue / Proposed Rates	\$ 106.51	\$ 151.16	\$ 100.19	\$ 94.15	\$ 91.76			
23	Revenue Annualization / Proposed Rates	ا ج	\$ (439)	\$ (73)	\$ 136	- \$,	\$ 1,862	
24	Additional Gallons to be Produced		(72)	(20)				113,976	11 1

Revenue Annualization / 1 Inch Residential Customers to Year End Number of Customers Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 2c Witness: Bourassa Exhibit

Month

Month

Month

ð

AIN ð

June ð

May

6 ∞

6 ∞

			Month		Month		Month	-	Month	
Line			oť		o		و		oť	
Š			<u>Jan.</u>		Feb.		March		April	
_	Year End Number of Customers		10		9		10		10	
7	Actual Customers		10		10		9		7	
ო	Increase in Number of Customers/Bills		ı		•		4		3	
4	Average Revenue / Present Rates	49	224.12	&	279.81	8	229.53	↔	626.84	
2	Revenue Annualization / Present Rates	S	٠	s	•	ક્ક	918	ક	1,881	
9										1
7	Increase in Number of Customers				•		4		က	
œ	Average Revenue / Proposed Rates	↔	302.10	\$	390.69	↔	310.71	ક્ર	942.71	
တ	Revenue Annualization / Proposed Rates	ઝ		\$	•	ઝ	1,243	ક્ર	2,828	
9	Additional Gallons to be Produced		•		•		103,335		503,187	II 1
7										
12			Month		Month		Month	_	Month	
13			ō		o		of		ō	
4			Aug.		Sept.		Oct C		Nov.	
15	Year End Number of Customers		9		10		10		10	
16	Actual Customers		10		6		10		2	
17	Increase in Number of Customers/Bills		r		2		(1)		-	ı
18	Average Revenue / Present Rates	ઝ	408.28	\$	175.12 \$	\$	289.26	₩.	250.72	
19	Revenue Annualization / Present Rates	s	,	\$	876	ક્ર	(588)	\$	251	
20										ll .
21	Increase in Number of Customers		•		2		Ξ		-	
55	Average Revenue / Proposed Rates	ઝ	595.04	\$	234.14	&		(344.42	
23	Revenue Annualization / Proposed Rates	S	1	s	876	ક્ર	(289)	\$	251	
24	Additional Gallons to be Produced		-		32,002		(47,164)		50,556	l I

870.18

581.24 581

↔

856.57

458.55

₩

917

1,713

870

2,616

499,550

215,250

1,308.15

675.01 \$ 1,350 151,445

Total Year

Month

ð

Dec.

10,017 ,508,161

344.42

6,847

250.72

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 2 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 3 Witness: Bourassa

Line <u>No.</u>			
1	Repairs and Maintenance		
2 3			
. 5	Staff Adjusted Repairs and Maintenance (CRM-9) Repairs and Maintenance per Direct Filing	\$.	14,389 14,542
6			
8	Difference	\$	(153)
9 10			
11	A.I	•	(4.50)
12 13	Adjustment to Revenues/Expenses	\$	(153)
14	OURDONTING COLUMN		
15 16	SUPPORTING SCHEDULE Staff Adjustment #2 CRM-9		
17 18			
19			
20			

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 3 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

Line No.				
1	Contractual Services - Other			
2				
3				
4	Staff Adjusted Contractual Services (CRM-10)		\$	86,227
5	Contractual Services - Other per Direct Filing			83,963
6				
7				
8	Difference		\$	2,264
9				
10				
11				
12	Adjustment to Revenues/Expenses		\$	2,264
13				
14				
15	SUPPORTING SCHEDULE			
16	Staff Adjustment #3 CRM-10			
17				
18				
19				
20				

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses Adjustment Number 4 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 5 Witness: Bourassa

Line			
<u>No.</u>			
1	Water Testing		
2			
3			
4	Staff Adjusted Water testing Expense (CRM-11)		\$ 4,029
5	Water testting Expense per Direct Filing		4,946
6			
7			
. 8	Difference		\$ (917)
9			
10			
11		x	
12	Adjustment to Revenues/Expenses	\	\$ (917)
13			-
14			
15	SUPPORTING SCHEDULE		
16	Staff Adjustment #4 CRM-11		
17			
18	•		
19			
20			

ICR Water Users Association Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 Adjustment to Revenues and Expenses
Adjustment Number 5 Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule C-2 Page 6 Witness: Bourassa

Line		
No.		
1	Adjust Property Taxes to Reflect Proposed Revenues:	
2		
3	Adjusted Revenues in year ended 12/31/2006	\$ 268,047
4	Adjusted Revenues in year ended 12/31/2006	268,047
5	Proposed Revenues	356,594
6		
7	Average of three year's of revenue	\$ 297,562
8	Average of three year's of revenue, times 2	\$ 595,124
9	Add:	
10	Construction Work in Progess at 10%	\$ -
11	Deduct:	
12	Book Value of Transportation Equipment	 <u>-</u>
13		
14	Full Cash Value	\$ 595,124
15	Assessment Ratio	23.50%
16	Assessed Value	139,854
17	Property Tax Rate	7.9800%
18		
19	Property Tax	11,160
20	Tax on Parcels	. 0
21		
22	Total Property Tax at Proposed Rates Rebuttal	\$ 11,160
23	Property Taxes per Direct Filing	 11,148
24	Change in Property Taxes	\$ 12
25		
26		
27	Adjustment to Revenues and/or Expenses	\$ 12
28		
29		
	·	

ICR Water Users Association Revenue Summary Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-1 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Total Total Revenues Revenues	ent Pro	282 \$ 207,922 \$ 59,640	. 4	58,391 18,462	417 664 246 59.07%		\$ 204,571 \$ 289,305 \$ 84,734 41.42%	53,403 - 0.00%	\$ 257,974 \$ 342,708 \$ 84,734 32.85% Additional		- 0.00% 1.344 1.862 5.19 38.61% 26	10,017 3,170	%00.0	9,738 13,964 4,226 43.40% 94	(335) (335) 0.00%	\$ 267,712 \$ 356,337 \$ 88,625 33.10%	\$ 257,974 \$ 258,309 \$ (335) -0.13% 0.50%
Customer Classification	and/or Meter Size	5/8 x 3/4 Inch Residential	1 Inch Residential	2 Inch Residential	Construction Water		Subtotals of Revenues	Other Water Revenues	Subtotals of Revenues	5/8 Inch residential	3/4 Inch Residential	2 Inch Residential	Construction Water	Subtotal Revenue Annualization	Revenue Reconciliation	Total Revenues Per Bill Count With Annualization	Subtotal of Revenues Above w/o Annualization Unadjusted Revenues Per C-1 Direct Filing Difference in Dollars Ofference in Percentage Tolerance Allowed by ACC Staff

ICR Water Users Association Analysis of Revenue by Detailed Class Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-2 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

	Percent		92.56%	0.00%	5.01%	2.41%	0.02%								100.00%			٠			
	<u>rease</u>	Amount C	34.90%	0.00%	38.11%	45.02%	59.07%							•	•						
	Proposed Increase	Amount	13		28	174	246														
			↔			•							•								
	Pronosed	Rates	49.96	•	101.81	561.69	663.65					*									
	Revenues	•	€9													.*					
	Rev	Rates	37.04	•	73.72	387.31	417.20														
		_	↔		_		_														
	Average	Consumption	7,085	•	9,470	82,182	149,000					•									
(a) Average Number of			0	# •	18	6	0								356		364				
	Customer	and/or Meter Size	5/8 x 3/4 Inch Residential	3/4 Inch Residential	1 Inch Residential	2 Inch Residential	Construction Water								Totals		of Customers:				
	-	Š	-	7	က	4	2	9 1	~ 0	0 0	υ ξ	2 1	- 2	13	4 ;	<u>.</u>	17	. 60	0 0	200	51

	Prese Test Year	Present and Proposed Rates Test Year Ended December 31, 2006	1	
	:			
S C	Customer Classification	Commercial Irrigation)		Prese Rate
<u> </u>	Monthly Usage Charge for:			
7	5/8 x 3/4 Inch			€9
က	3/4 Inch			
4	1 Inch			
2	1 1/2 Inch			Ť.
9	2 Inch			Ť
7	3 Inch			e -
œ	4 Inch			ָּ
တ	5 Inch			∀ Z
9	6 Inch			1,0
7				
7				
13				
4				
15	Gallons included in Minimum			
16				
17	upper limit, up to,	but not exceeding)		
8	5/8 x 3/4 Inch			All gallons
19	34 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
20	1 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
21	1.5 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
22	2 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
23	3 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
24	4 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
25	6 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
56	8 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		All gallons
27				
78	Tier 2: (Gallon upper limit, up to,	but not exceeding)		
29	5/8 x 3/4 Inch			
တ္ထ	3/4 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		
<u>સ</u>	1 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		
32	1.5 Inch	_		
33	2 Inch	Commercial,		
8	3 Inch	Commercial,		
32	4 Inch	_		
36	6 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		
37	8 Inch	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation		
ď				

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-3 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

Percent Change	31.00%	96.50% 31.00%	31.00%	31.00%	39.73%	31.00%		31.00%
Proposed <u>Rates</u> (a)	\$ 26.20	39.30 65.50	131.00	209.60	419.20	655.00	A/A	1,310.00
Present <u>Rates</u>	\$ 20.00	20.00 50.00	100.00	160.00	300.00	500.00	N/A	1,000.00

1,000

Please See Pages 2 and 3 ns over min.
ns over min. Please See Pages 2 and 3

ICR Water Users Association
Present and Proposed Rates
Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-3 Page 2 Witness: Bourassa

Proposed	Rates	Please See	Pages 2 and 3								Proposed Change		2.984	\$ 3.834 36.93%	\$ 4.454 59.07%					10	Gallons	리	4,000	\$ 000'6	\$ 4.454			22 500 \$ 3834	3		
Present	Rates										Present		\$ 2.80	2.80	2.80				Proposed Rates	From	Gallons		- up to	4,000 up t	000'6			4	22,500		
												ier)	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3						!	7,085	over	over	over		9.470	יסייסי	over		
	ut not exceeding)	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation Residential, Commercial, Irrigation	Residential, Commercial, Irrigation			ns in excess of gallons in Each Tier)	Vater	Vater	Vater							Average Usage					Average Usage									
Customer Classification	and Meter Size Tier 3: (Gallon upper limit, up to, but not exceeding)	5/8 x 3/4 Inch	3/4 Inch	-	2 Inch	3 Inch	4 Inch	6 Inch	8 Inch			Commodity Rates (per 1,000 gallons in	All customer classes except Bulk Wate	All customer classes except Bulk Wate	All customer classes except Bulk Wate							5/8 and 3/4 inch Meters	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3		1 Inch Meter		Tier 2	Tier 3	
Line	의 -	7	დ 4	- ა	9	7	œ	တ	10	7	12	13	4	15	16	17	48	9	50	2 8	7.7	23	24	25	56	27	9 6	3 6	8 8	32	33

8

Exhibit

. F	ICR Water Users Association Present and Proposed Rates Test Year Ended December 31, 2006				Exhibit Rebutta Page 3 Witness	Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-3 Page 3 Witness: Bourassa	ule H-3	
Customer Classification and Meter Size	·		•	Propose From Gallons	Proposed Rates	To Gallons	Cha	Percent <u>Change</u>
1.5 Inch Meter Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3	(100/20)*(4,000) = (100/20)*(9,000) =		20,000	45,000		45,000	. 69 69	3.834
2 Inch Meter Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3	Average Usage (160/20)*(4,000) = (160/20)*(9,000) =	82,182	32,000 72,000	72,000		72,000	60 €0	3.834
3 Inch Meter Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3	(320/20)*(4,000) = (320/20)*(9,000) =		64,000	144,001		144,000	↔ ↔	3.834
4 Inch Meter Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3	(500/20)*(4,000) = (500/20)*(9,000) =		100,000	225,001		225,000	6 6	3.834
6 Inch Meter Tier 1 Tier 2 Tier 3	(1000/20)*(4,000) = (1000/20)*(9,000) =		200,000	450,001		450,000	↔ ↔	3.834 4.454
Constuction Water	Average Usage	149,000	4	All Gallons			69	4.454

ICR Water Users Association Present and Proposed Rates Test Year Ended December 31, 2006

Exhibit Schedule H-3 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

		- Ieto Ieto	Proposed	Charge	\$ 520.00	600.00										8,050.00	At Cost	At Cost	At Cost											٠								
	Proposed	Meter	ation	Charge	\$ 135.00	215.00	255.00	465.00	965.00	1,690.00	1,470.00	2,265.00	2,350.00	3,245.00	4,545.00	6,280.00	At Cost	At Cost	At Cost											-								
		Proposed	Line	Charge	\$ 385.00	385.00	435.00	470.00	630.00	630.00	805.00	845.00	1,170.00	1,230.00	1,730.00	1,770.00	At Cost	At Cost	At Cost							\$ 50.00		ΑX	\$ 20.00	PER RULE	PER RULE	PER RULE	\$ 15.00	1.5%	\$ 10.00	(a)		
		Teto T	Present	Charge	\$ 250.00	250.00	300.00	450.00	625.00	625.00	825.00	825.00	1,450.00	1,450.00	3,100.00	3,100.00	¥ Z	Ą	Ϋ́							;	į											
	Present	Meter	ation	Charge																								hours				nths)						
	Line Charges	Present	Line	Charge																						er Hours)	nquent)	nquent) after				Within 12 mo	,					
	Meter and Service Line Charges				5/8 x 3/4 Inch	3/4 Inch	1 Inch	1 1/2 Inch	2 Inch Turbo	2 Inch Compound	3 Inch Turbo	3 Inch Compound	4 Inch Turbo	4 Inch Compound	6 Inch Turbo	6 Inch Compound	8 Inch	10 Inch	12 Inch			Other Charges:			Establishment	Establishment (After Hours)	Reconnection (Delinquent)	Reconnection (Delinquent) after hours	Meter Test	Deposit	Deposit Interest	Re-establishment (Within 12 months)	NSF Check	Deferred Payment	Meter Re-read	Late Fee		
Line	- 0	ლ -	t ro	9	7	œ	6	6	1	12	13	4	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	75	23	75	52	56	27	78	58	8	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	6 4

\$ 25.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 20.00	PER RULE	800.9	PER RULE	\$ 15.00	1.5%	\$ 10.00	(a)	
_ -				0	ш	ш	ш	0				
25.0(50.00	20.00	¥	20.00	Ž	Ž	ZULE	15.00	2%	10.00		

The state of the s	\$ 25.00	\$ 50.00	\$ 20.00	\$ 40.00	\$ 20.00	PER RULE	%00.9	PER RULE	\$ 15.00	1.5%	\$ 10.00	(a)	
	00:	00:	00.0		8.		LE LE	I.E	00.5	S S	00.		

Establishment (R14-2-403.D.1)	Establishment (After Hours)	(R14-2-403.D.2)	Meter Test (R14-2-408.F)	Deposit (R14-2-403.B)	Deposit Interest (R14-2-403.B.3)	Re-establishment (R14-2-403.D.1)	NSF Check (R14-2-409.F.1)	Deferred Payment (R14-2-409.G.6)	Meter Re-read (R14-2-408.C.2)

ICR Water Users Association Bill Comparison of Present and Proposed Rates

Customer Classification

5/8 Inch Meter

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 (Excludes all Revenue Related Taxes)

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-4 Page 1 Witness: Bourassa

	Present Proposed		Dollar		Percent			
<u>Usage</u>	Bill	<u>Bill</u>	<u>ln</u>	crease	<u>Increase</u>			
-	\$ 20.00	\$ 26.20	\$	6.20	31.00%			
1,000	20.00	29.18	\$	9.18	45.92%	Present Rates:		
2,000	22.80	32.17	\$	9.37	41.09%	Monthly Minimum:		\$ 20.00
3,000	25.60	35.15	\$	9.55	37.31%	Gallons in Minimum	١ .	1,000
4,000	28.40	38.14	\$	9.74	34.28%	Charge Per 1,000 C	Sallons	
5,000	31.20	41.97	\$	10.77	34.52%			\$ 2.80
6,000	34.00	45.80	\$	11.80	34.72%			
7,000	36.80	49.64	\$	12.84	34.89%			
8,000	39.60	53.47	\$	13.87	35.03%			
9,000	42.40	57.31	\$	14.91	35.16%			
10,000	45.20	61.76	\$	16.56	36.64%			
12,000	50.80	70.67	\$	19.87	39.11%	Proposed Rates:		
14,000	56.40	79.58	\$	23.18	41.09%	Monthly Minimum:		\$ 26.20
16,000	62.00	88.48	\$	26.48	42.72%	Gallons in Minimum	1	-
18,000	67.60	97.39	\$	29.79	44.07%	Charge Per 1,000 G	Salions	
20,000	73.20	106.30	\$	33.10	45.22%	Up to	4,000	\$ 2.984
25,000	87.20	128.57	\$	41.37	47.44%	Up to	9,000	\$ 3.834
30,000	101.20	150.84	\$	49.64	49.05%	Over	9,000	\$ 4.454
35,000	115.20	173.11	\$	57.91	50.27%			
40,000	129.20	195.38	\$	66.18	51.22%			
45,000	143.20	217.65	\$	74.45	51.99%			
50,000	157.20	239.92	\$	82.72	52.62%			
60,000	185.20	284.46	\$	99.26	53.60%			
70,000	213.20	329.00	\$	115.80	54.32%			
80,000	241.20	373.54	\$	132.34	54.87%			
90,000	269.20	418.08	\$	148.88	55.30%			
100,000	297.20	462.62	\$	165.42	55.66%			

Average Usage				
7,085 \$	37.04	\$ 49.96	\$ 12.93	34.90%
Median Usage				
4,500 \$	29.80	\$ 40.05	\$ 10.25	34.41%

ICR Water Users Association Bill Comparison of Present and Proposed Rates Customer Classification 1 Inch Meter

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 (Excludes all Revenue Related Taxes)

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-4 Page 2 Witness: Bourassa

	P	resent	Pr	oposed		Dollar	P	ercent			
<u>Usage</u>		<u>Bill</u>		Bill	<u>lr</u>	crease	<u>ln</u>	<u>crease</u>			
, -	\$	50.00	\$	65.50	\$	15.50		31.00%			
1,000		50.00		69.33	\$	19.33		38.67%	Present Rates:		
2,000		52.80		73.17	\$	20.37		38.58%	Monthly Minimum:		\$50.00
3,000		55.60		77.00	\$	21.40		38.49%	Gallons in Minimum		1,000
4,000		58.40		80.84	\$	22.44		38.42%	Charge Per 1,000 Gallon	S	
5,000		61.20		84.67	\$	23.47		38.35%	All gallons		\$ 2.80
6,000		64.00		88.50	\$	24.50		38.29%			
7,000		66.80		92.34	\$	25.54		38.23%			
8,000		69.60		96.17	\$	26.57		38.18%			
9,000		72.40		100.01	\$	27.61		38.13%			
10,000		75.20		103.84	\$	28.64		38.09%			
12,000		80.80		111.51	\$	30.71		38.00%	Proposed Rates:		
14,000		86.40		119.18	\$	32.78		37.94%	Monthly Minimum:		\$65.50
16,000		92.00		126.84	\$	34.84		37.87%	Gallons in Minimum		-
18,000		97.60		134.51	\$	36.91		37.82%	Charge Per 1,000 Gallon	S	
20,000		103.20		142.18	\$	38.98		37.77%	Up to	22,500	\$3.834
25,000		117.20		162.90	\$	45.70		38.99%	Over	22,500	\$4.454
30,000		131.20		185.17	\$	53.97		41.14%			
35,000		145.20		207.44	\$	62.24	,	42.87%			
40,000		159.20		229.71	\$	70.51		44.29%			
45,000		173.20		251.98	\$	78.78		45.48%			
50,000		187.20	:	274.25	\$	87.05	,	46.50%			
60,000		215.20		318.79	\$	103.59		48.14%			
70,000		243.20		363.33	\$	120.13		49.40%			•
80,000		271.20		407.87	\$	136.67	;	50.39%			
90,000		299.20		452.41	\$	153.21	;	51.21%			
100,000		327.20		496.95	\$	169.75		51.88%			

Average U	sag	е			
9,470	\$	73.72	\$ 101.81	\$ 28.09	38.11%
Median Us	age				
1 500	\$	51 40	\$ 71 25	\$ 19 85	38 62%

ICR Water Users Association Bill Comparison of Present and Proposed Rates Customer Classification 2 Inch Meter

Test Year Ended December 31, 2006 (Excludes all Revenue Related Taxes)

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-4 Page 3 Witness: Bourassa

	Present	Ρ	roposed		Dollar	Percent		
<u>Usage</u>	Bill		<u>Bill</u>	İr	<u>ncrease</u>	<u>Increase</u>		
-	\$ 160.00	\$	209.60	\$	49.60	31.00%		
1,000	160.00		213.43	\$	53.43	33.40%	Present Rates:	
2,000	162.80		217.27	\$	54.47	33.46%	Monthly Minimum:	\$ 160.00
3,000	165.60		221.10	\$	55.50	33.52%	Gallons in Minimum	1,000
4,000	168.40		224.94	\$	56.54	33.57%	Charge Per 1,000 Gallons	
5,000	171.20		228.77	\$	57.57	33.63%	All Gallons	\$ 2.80
6,000	174.00		232.60	\$	58.60	33.68%		
7,000	176.80		236.44	\$	59.64	33.73%		
8,000	179.60		240.27	\$	60.67	33.78%		
9,000	182.40		244.11	\$	61.71	33.83%		
10,000	185.20		247.94	\$	62.74	33.88%		
12,000	190.80		255.61	\$	64.81	33.97%	Proposed Rates:	
14,000	196.40		263.28	\$	66.88	34.05%	Monthly Minimum:	\$ 209.60
16,000	202.00		270.94	\$	68.94	34.13%	Gallons in Minimum	-
18,000	207.60		278.61	\$	71.01	34.21%	Charge Per 1,000 Gallons	
20,000	213.20		286.28	\$	73.08	34.28%	Up to 22,500	\$ 3.834
25,000	227.20		307.00	\$	79.80	35.12%	Over 22,500	\$ 4.454
30,000	241.20		329.27	\$	88.07	36.51%		
35,000	255.20		351.54	\$	96.34	37.75%		
40,000	269.20		373.81	\$	104.61	38.86%		
45,000	283.20		396.08	\$	112.88	39.86%		
50,000	297.20		418.35	\$	121.15	40.76%		
60,000	325.20		462.89	\$	137.69	42.34%		
70,000	353.20		507.43	\$	154.23	43.67%		
80,000	381.20		551.97	\$	170.77	44.80%		
90,000	409.20		596.51	\$	187.31	45.77%		
100,000	437.20		641.05	\$	203.85	46.63%		
150,000	577.20		863.75	\$	286.55	49.64%		
200,000	717.20		1,086.45	\$	369.25	51.48%		
250,000	857.20		1,309.15	\$	451.95	52.72%		
300,000	997.20		1,531.85	\$	534.65	53.62%		
350,000	1,137.20		1,754.55	\$	617.35	54.29%		
400,000	1,277.20		1,977.25	\$	700.05	54.81%		
450,000	1,417.20		2,199.95	\$	782.75	55.23%		
500,000	1,557.20		2,422.65	\$	865.45	55.58%		
Average U								
82,182		\$	561.69	\$	174.38	45.02%		
Median Us	-							
22,500	\$ 220.20	\$	295.87	\$	75.67	34.36%		

ICR Water Users Association

Bill Comparison of Present and Proposed Rates Customer Classification Construction Water

Exhibit Rebuttal Schedule H-4 Page 4 Witness: Bourassa

Test Year Er	nded December 31, 2006
(Excludes all	Revenue Related Taxes)

		F	Present	P	roposed		Dollar	Percent			
	<u>Usage</u>		<u>Bill</u>		<u>Bill</u>	<u>ln</u>	crease	Increase			
	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- '	0.00%			
	1,000		2.80		4.45		1.65	59.07%	Present Rates:		
	2,000		5.60		8.91		3.31	59.07%	Monthly Minimum:	,\$	-
	3,000		8.40		13.36		4.96	59.07%	Gallons in Minimum		
	4,000		11.20		17.82		6.62	59.07%	Charge Per 1,000 Gallons		
	5,000		14.00		22.27		8.27	59.07%		\$	2.80
	6,000		16.80		26.72		9.92	59.07%			
	7,000		19.60		31.18		11.58	59.07%			
	8,000		22.40		35.63		13.23	59.07%			
	9,000		25.20		40.09		14.89	59.07%			
	10,000		28.00		44.54		16.54	59.07%			
	12,000		33.60		53.45		19.85	59.07%	Proposed Rates:		
	14,000		39.20		62.36		23.16	59.07%	Monthly Minimum:	\$	-
	16,000		44.80		71.26		26.46	59.07%	Gallons in Minimum		-
	18,000		50.40		80.17		29.77	59.07%	Charge Per 1,000 Gallons		
	20,000		56.00		89.08		33.08	59.07%		\$	4.454
	25,000		70.00		111.35		41.35	59.07%			
	30,000		84.00		133.62		49.62	59.07%			
	35,000		98.00		155.89		57.89	59.07%			
	40,000		112.00		178.16		66.16	59.07%			
	45,000		126.00		200.43		74.43	59.07%			
	50,000		140.00		222.70		82.70	59.07%			
	60,000		168.00		267.24		99.24	59.07%			
	70,000		196.00		311.78		115.78	59.07%			
	80,000		224.00		356.32		132.32	59.07%			
	90,000		252.00		400.86		148.86	59.07%			
	100,000		280.00		445.40		165.40	59.07%			
Ave	rage Usage										
	149,000	\$	417.20	\$	663.65	\$	246.45	59.07%			
Med	dian Usage										
	74,500	\$	208.60	\$	331.82	\$	123.22	59.07%			

EXHIBIT B

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2	ı	
	ı	COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman JEFF HATCH-MILLER WILLIAM A. MUNDELL KRISTIN K. MAYES GARY PIERCE

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ICR WATER USERS ASSOCIATION, INC. FOR DETERMINATION OF THE CURRENT FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES AND CHARGES FOR UTILITY SERVICES

DOCKET NO. W-02824A-07-0388

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF ROBERT M. BUSCH ON BEHALF OF ICR WATER USERS ASSOCIATION DECEMBER 14, 2007

I. INTRODUCTION.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

O. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

My name is Robert M. Busch. My business address is P.O. Box 5669, Chino Α. Valley, AZ 86323.

Q. WHERE ARE YOU **EMPLOYED** AND WHAT ARE YOUR **RESPONSIBILITIES?**

I work for MDI Financial Services as the Water Company Manager. Α. Financial Services is the management company of ICR Water Users Association ("ICR" or "Company"). I have been working for ICR since May of 2005. My responsibilities include the following: approving invoices for payment, preparing water use summaries and analysis for the Company's Board of Directors, authorizing expenditures for repairs and maintenance up to \$2,000, handling customer inquiries and complaints, reviewing financial reports, attending regular Board meetings and reporting monthly water company activities, preparing annual operating budget and annual reconciliation of expenses due from the developers, and consulting with the certified operator on maintenance and operational issues.

Q. **YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?**

I obtained an undergraduate degree in Mathematics at the University of Denver, Α. and subsequently graduated from a one-year specialized engineering program at the University of Colorado.

In addition to providing management services to ICR, I also provide management services to Granite Oaks Water Users Association. For three years, from 2000 to 2003, I served as the President of the Board of Directors of Granite Oaks Water Users Association. Prior to this, I was employed by US West (now Qwest) for over 25 years, with my last position being the Director of Real Estate and Support Services.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. I am testifying on behalf of ICR, in support of the proposed rate increase.

HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE COMMISSION? Q.

No, this is my first time. Α.

WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS Q. PROCEEDING?

The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to provide an update of the Company's A. compliance status with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("ADEQ"). I also will briefly address the contractual relationship between the golf course and the Company. I will also respond to some of the recommendations made the by Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") Staff in their direct testimony.

II. **SUMMARY.**

PLEASE PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY. Q.

A. My rebuttal testimony addresses the following:

- (1) In response to the discussion in Staff's engineering report about ICR's noncompliance with ADEQ reporting requirements, ICR is currently in compliance with ADEQ MRDL reporting requirements and expects to be in compliance with ADEQ lead and copper requirements early next year.
- (2) In response to Staff's recommendation relating to ADEO compliance, ICR agrees that ADEQ compliance should be a condition of the Commission's decision, but should not be a condition that needs to be met before the new rates can go into effect. As detailed below, ICR has no control over when ADEQ's compliance database will be appropriately updated despite providing the required information.
- (3) In response to Staff's testimony relating to the water delivered to the golf course, ICR does not sell water to the golf course. ICR, however, does deliver

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

water to the golf course per the Well Agreement. Under the Well Agreement, the golf course pays wheeling charges and a pro rata share of the operation, maintenance and repair expenses of the water system to ICR.

(4) In response to the recommendation made in Staff's engineering report about separating water use data in future annual reports, ICR seeks clarification of this recommendation.

III. ADEQ COMPLIANCE.

- **SUMMARIZE** THE Q. STATUS WITH ADEO AS OF JUNE 26, 2007, THE DATE OF THE RATE APPLICATION?
- Yes. As a matter of background, the Company operates two water systems— Α. Inscription Canyon Ranch system (PWS #13-303) and the Talking Rock Ranch system (PWS # 13-263). My company has managed both systems since the second quarter of 2005. Although not interconnected, both systems originally operated under one ADEQ public water system number until ADEQ requested that the systems be assigned two different numbers. As such, the Talking Rock Ranch system was assigned a separate public water system number in the first quarter of 2006.

For the Inscription Canyon Ranch system, ADEQ indicated that the quarterly Maximum Residual Disinfection Level ("MRDL") reports and the two consecutive six-month lead and copper monitoring reports were not completed and submitted. Also, the Company was only providing five lead and copper samples per monitoring period, instead of twenty.

For the Talking Rock Ranch system, ADEQ indicated that the quarterly MRDL reports and the two consecutive six-month lead and copper monitoring reports were not completed and submitted.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

O. SO IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT COMPANY'S COMPLIANCE DEFICIENCIES ACCORDING TO ADEQ ONLY RELATE TO MRDL AND LEAD AND COPPER REPORTING?

Yes, those are the only two ADEQ compliance issues that the Company is aware A. of.

CAN YOU PROVIDE AN UPDATE REGARDING THE COMPANY'S Q. COMPLIANCE WITH MRDL REPORTING?

Α. Yes, the Company has provided ADEO with the required quarterly MRDL reports for both of its systems on two separate occasions. The Company first filed each of the MRDL reports when they were originally due (after each quarter in 2005, When the Company requested and received an ADEO 2006, and 2007). compliance status report, dated April 4, 2007, to submit as an exhibit to the rate application, ICR learned that ADEQ's database showed that the Company did not submit the majority of its MRDL reports. Within thirty days of learning this (by May 2007), the Company resubmitted all MRDL reports for the Inscription Canyon Ranch system and Talking Rock Ranch system via certified mail to ADEQ.

Staff Witness Jian Liu's direct testimony, filed November 30, 2007, stated that ADEQ's compliance database still showed that the Company was not in compliance with ADEO MRDL reporting requirements. Concerned by the fact that ADEO's database was still not updated after six months of resubmitting all of its MRDL reports (and in some instances, years after the reports were originally submitted), the Company contacted the Drinking Water Monitoring and Protection Unit of ADEO to determine its compliance status. According to ADEO, the Company is now in complete compliance with all MRDL reporting requirements. ADEQ only recently updated ICR's MRDL compliance status on December 6, 2007. The Company will obtain a copy of this compliance update and file it with the Commission upon receipt.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Yes, as stated in the Company's rate application in this matter, the Company Α. initiated a plan to complete two consecutive lead and copper testing, six-months apart, for both systems by January 2008. The testing consists of collecting 30 water samples taken at the same time of day from 30 different customers. This plan was developed with input from ADEO and pursuant to ADEO requirements. The Company completed the first round of testing in June 2007. The second round of testing will be completed this month, December 2007. The results of the second round of tests will be available to the Company in January 2008, which will be provided to ADEQ. The Company expects that the lead and copper test results will meet ADEO standards.

DOES THE COMPANY AGREE WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION Q. TO STAY THE RATE INCREASE UNTIL THE COMPANY IS IN **COMPLIANCE WITH ADEQ?**

The Company is not objecting to be in compliance with ADEO requirements, but A. proposes a modification to Staff's recommendation.

Q. WHAT DOES THE COMPANY PROPOSE INSTEAD?

The Company proposes that it should file, as a compliance item, within one year Α. of the decision date in this matter, documentation from ADEO demonstrating that the Company is in compliance with ADEQ. This alternative is appropriate in this case and is consistent with prior Commission decisions.

WHY IS THE COMPANY'S REVISED RECOMMENDATION MORE Q. SUITABLE IN THIS CASE?

The Company believes that it is currently delivering safe and reliable water to its Α. customers, and is working with ADEQ to make sure that all reporting requirements are met. The Company has already satisfied ADEQ's MRDL reporting requirements, but as stated above, the lead and copper testing reports

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

will not be available to send to ADEQ until January 2008. Once sent to ADEQ early next year, the Company has no control over how long it will take ADEQ to update its compliance database. As indicated above, it took ADEQ six months (an in some instances, years) to update the Company's MRDL compliance status even though the Company submitted its MRDL reports on two different occasions. As a result, ICR fears that its new rates will be delayed for an inordinate amount of time because the ADEQ compliance database will not be timely or accurately updated with ICR's lead and copper testing.

IV. **GOLF COURSE WATER.**

- Q. **AGREE** WITH THE **STATEMENT** TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS CHARLES MYHLHOUSEN THAT THE "GOLF COURSE DOES NOT RECEIVE OR PURCHASE ANY WATER FROM ICR"?
- In part. The Company wants to make sure that Mr. Myhlhousen's statement is A. not interpreted incorrectly. It is true that ICR does not sell water to the golf course. Pursuant to the Well Agreement between ICR, the golf course and developer of Talking Rock Ranch, there are three wells within the Talking Rock Ranch subdivision that supply water to ICR's customers and the golf course. The golf course owns two of these wells and ICR owns the third. ICR, as the water company, pumps and delivers the water from the wells to the golf course.

DOES THE GOLF COURSE PAY FOR THE DELIVERY OF THIS Q. WATER?

Yes. Pursuant to the Well Agreement, the golf course pays ICR a wheeling A. charge for the delivery of water to the golf course. The golf also pays for a pro rata share of the operation, maintenance and repair expenses for the water system each month, which is subject to a true-up at the end of the year.

•
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 7	WATER	HOL	DATA
v .	WALDIN	COL	DAIA.

- Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION REQUIRING ICR TO SEPARATE OUT THE WATER USE DATA IN FUTURE ANNUAL REPORTS?
- A. ICR does not necessarily object to Staff's recommendation but requests clarification from Staff. The Company wants to make sure that it is able to provide the data requested. Currently, the Company can provide the following information on a monthly basis:
 - (1) amount of water pumped from each system;
 - (2) amount of water pumped to the golf course;
 - (3) amount of water used by the developer of the Talking Rock Ranch subdivision for construction;
 - (4) amount of water used by customers (excluding the golf course)
 - (5) amount of water unaccounted for; and
 - (6) total number of customers.

ICR can also provide a plant summary for both systems on an annual basis. If Staff agrees that the information above satisfies their requirements, then ICR has no objection to Staff's recommendation.

VI. CONCLUSION.

- Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes.

27

28